

The Role of Indigenous Knowledge in Human-Snake Conflicts in Ogun State, Nigeria

BABAJIDE RINOYE ODEBIYI, RILWAN OLUYINKA ADEWALE
Olabisi Onabanjo University, Ayetoro Campus, Ogun State, Nigeria.

SHAFIU KILISHI HALIDU, DAVID OLAYINKA OYELEYE,
PRISCILLA OSAYUWARE OSAGUONA
Federal College of Wildlife Management, New Bussa, Niger state, Nigeria

OLUWAKAYODE PETER OLUWASANYA
Olabisi Onabanjo University, Ago-Iwoye, Ogun State, Nigeria

Abstract. Human-wildlife conflicts are interactions between man and wild animals that yield negative impact on each other. Increase in human population with a consequential expansion of human settlements into wild animals' habitats has brought man into close interaction with wild animals. Such interactions sometimes end up in conflicts, especially when one considers the other as a threat to life or survival. Farmers often come into contact with snakes during the process of land clearing in preparation for cultivation of crops and even up to harvesting season. This study assessed the influence of indigenous knowledge on the conflict between farmers and snakes in Ogun state, Nigeria. Two agricultural zones were purposively sampled and structured questionnaire administered (n=125) to farmers to elicit information on their demographic characteristics and experiences with snakes on their farms. Descriptive statistics was used to analyse data on demographic characteristics and experiences with snakes. Association between incidence of snake bite and farmers' support for snake conservation was tested with chi-square. There were more male farmers (68%). Farmers' major religions were Islam (53.6%) and Christianity (44.8%). Most of the farmers killed snakes when encountered. Few farmers (25.6%) had snake bite incidence while majority (74.4%) declined support for snake conservation. There was a significant association between snake bite incidence and support for snake conservation ($X^2=29.768$, $df=1$, $P<0.05$). Farmers' indigenous knowledge contributed to the minimal account of human snake conflict while their perceived value of snake was self-limiting and could cause a spike in human-snake conflict.

Keywords: Conflict, Conservation, Indigenous Knowledge, Snake Bite, Nigeria

1. Introduction

Human-wildlife conflicts are interactions between man and wild animals that yield negative impact on each other. Just as humans have positive interactions with wild animals (e.g. as pets and for tourism), human-wildlife conflicts are the negative interactions between humans and wildlife (Huguenin, 2005). The occurrence of human-wildlife conflicts dates to human prehistory. The earliest form of conflict occurred in the form of predation of ancestors of prehistoric man and early hominoids (Berger and McGraw, 2007; Anand and Radhakrishma, 2017).

Human-wildlife conflict has extended from what was initially considered as a problem associated with rural or agricultural communities to what has become a threat to most of the globally endangered mammal species. It is one of the most critical issues facing environmentalist. The continuous increase in human population with a consequential expansion of human settlements into wild animals' habitats has brought man into close interaction with wild animals. In other words, the space between man and wild animals is consistently shrinking, thereby increasing their frequency of interaction. This often occurs when man and wild animals explore natural resources for survival and means of livelihood respectively. Where the natural resources are limited, these encounters sometimes end up in conflicts, especially when one considers the other as a threat to life or survival.

Conflict occurs when wildlife requirements overlap with that of humans creating costs to man and wildlife (Eniang, *et al.*, 2011; Datiko and Bekele, 2013). Human-wildlife could also be fueled by explosion of some wild animal populations, as a result of successful conservation efforts and environmental changes (Pittiglio, 2008; Kate, 2012; Anand and Radhakrishma, 2017). The steady rise in socio-economic activities in natural vegetations has also contributed to a decline in forest cover subjecting the wild animals to smaller habitats and less food. The increasing demand for land and declining productivity of the already cultivated land prompts local communities to search for virgin lands preferably in wildlife habitats. A typical example of human-wildlife conflict related to this factor is the farmers-snake conflict. It is remarkable to note that out of the estimated 3000 species of snake, only about 600 species are venomous (National Geographic, 2020).). Man's fear of snakes and snake bites prompt man to lurch attack on snakes which oftentimes, eventually result in snake bite. More often than not, farmers come into contact with snakes more than other members of the local communities especially during the process of land clearing in preparation for cultivation of crops and even up to harvesting season. This formed the basis for assessing conflict between farmers and snakes in Ogun state, Nigeria. Preliminary investigation revealed that the farmers usually encountered various species of snakes such as python, viper and cobra.

It is commonly perceived that indigenous knowledge informs rural communities' decision about their social and economic life. It was on this note that this study also examined the influence of indigenous knowledge of the farmers on their response to conflict with snakes.

2. Methodology

The study was conducted in Ogun State, Southwestern Nigeria. Ogun state lie approximately within latitude 7° and 27'E a land area of 16, 409.26sq.km and with an estimated population of 3, 728,098. The state has a humid tropical climate with an average annual rainfall of between 105mm and 128mm. Ogun state borders Lagos state to the south, Oyo state to the north and Ondo state to the east. It is bounded on the west by Republic of Benin. The state is commonly referred to as the "gateway" to Nigeria from other coastal West African Countries due to its geographical location (Solanke, 2000). The indigenes of Ogun state are mainly Yoruba speaking people.

Ogun state shares certain aspects of their culture and tradition with other Southwestern states who are equally Yoruba speaking people with different dialects. Indigenes of Ogun state are traditionally hunters and farmers. Ogun state is divided into four zones by Agricultural Development Project (Obayelu, *et al.*, 2015). The zones are Abeokuta, Ikenne, Ilaro and Ijebu Ode. Two (Ilaro and Ijebu) of the zones were purposively sampled owing to massive farming activities in the selected zones. Purposive sampling technique was equally adopted to administer questionnaire to farmers who had encountered snakes on their farms for a minimum of five years. This category of farmers is expected to be knowledgeable about the subject matter. This method is in agreement with Nyanganji *et al.*, (2011) who conducted a similar study. In all, 125 respondents were identified and sampled. The questionnaire was designed to elicit information on the farmers' demographic characteristics and their experiences with snakes on their farms. Descriptive statistics such as frequencies and percentages were used to analyze data on the farmers' demographic characteristics, as well as their knowledge about and experiences with snakes. Chi square was used to test for relationship between incidence of snake bite and farmers' support for conservation.

3. Results

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of Respondents

| Demography | Frequency | Percentage |
|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Gender | | |
| Male | 85 | 68.0 |
| Female | 40 | 32.0 |
| Religion | | |
| Islam | 67 | 53.6 |
| Christianity | 56 | 44.8 |
| Traditional | 2 | 1.6 |
| Age | | |
| 21-30 | 12 | 9.6 |
| 31-40 | 26 | 20.8 |
| 41-50 | 26 | 20.8 |
| 51-60 | 47 | 37.6 |
| 61 and above | 14 | 11.2 |
| Education | | |
| No formal education | 19 | 15.2 |
| Primary education | 41 | 32.8 |
| Secondary education | 24 | 19.2 |
| Tertiary | 41 | 32.8 |
| Total | 125 | 100 |

Table 2: Farmers' Response to encounter with snakes

| Response to snake | Frequency | Percentage |
|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| To kill | 96 | 76.8 |
| To avoid | 29 | 23.2 |
| Total | 125 | 100 |

Table 3: Respondents' Perceived Benefits of snakes

| Perceived Benefits | Frequency | Percentage |
|-------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Consumption/source of protein | 81 | 64.8 |
| Traditional medicine | 9 | 7.2 |
| Pest control | 14 | 11.2 |
| No benefit | 21 | 16.8 |
| Total | 125 | 100 |

Table 4: Respondents' Knowledge of how to Prevent Conflict with snakes (snake bite)

| Respondents' Knowledge | Frequency | Percentage |
|-------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Safety boot | 53 | 42.4 |
| Killing of the snake | 14 | 11.2 |
| Fleeing from the snake | 32 | 25.6 |
| Use of anti-snake chemicals | 2 | 1.6 |
| Traditional means | 12 | 9.6 |
| Total | 125 | 100 |

Table 5: Incidence of snake bite among respondents

| Incident | Frequency | Percentage |
|------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Snake bite incident | 32 | 25.6 |
| No snake bite incident | 93 | 74.4 |
| Total | 125 | 100 |

Table 6: Farmers’ support for Snakes Conservation

| Snake Conservation | Frequency | Percentage |
|---------------------------|------------|------------|
| Yes to Snake Conservation | 32 | 25.6 |
| No to Snake Conservation | 93 | 74.4 |
| Total | 125 | 100 |

Table 7: Association between Incidence of snake bite and Farmers’ support for snake conservation

| Variable | df | X ² | Pvalue | Decision |
|----------------------|----|----------------|--------|-------------|
| Snake bite incidence | 1 | 29.768 | 0.000 | Significant |

df=degree of freedom, X²= Chi square value, Pvalue=probability value

4. Discussion

As indicated in table 1, most of the respondents were of the male gender. This is not farfetched as African men are culturally known to be involved in farming activities more than women which also explain why more men are involved in human-snake conflicts. The spread of the respondents among the religions depicts the two major religions (Islam and Christianity) being practiced in the country, as only a few still hold on to the indigenous traditional religion. The respondents were spread across a wide range of age groups. This implies farming is neither exclusively for the young nor the old, more so, that farming is a traditional practice in Nigeria. Cumulatively, many of the respondents’ level of education did not go secondary education. These are rural dwellers, most of which are peasant farmers who do not see the place of formal education in their traditional day to day life.

The influence of traditional indigenous knowledge cannot be downplayed in the assessment of human snake conflicts. As a matter of fact the United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization stated categorically that indigenous knowledge forms the basis of decision making about fundamental aspects of day to day life of rural people (UNESCO, 2017). The indigenous knowledge of the farmers played a vital role in how they prevented conflicts with snakes (table 4). The method deployed by the farmers to prevent snake bite apparently proved effective as just a handful of the farmers had one time or the other been bitten by snakes (table 5). The chi-square test further indicated an association between incidence of snake bite and farmers support for snake conservation (table 7).

The application of indigenous knowledge is however not without short comings and limitations. (Nicholas, 2010, Smith and Wobst, 2005). Some of the views held by the farmers could fuel conflicts between man and snakes as majority of the respondents mentioned killing of snakes as their response whenever they sighted snakes on their farms (table 2). Little wonder

many of the respondents declined their support for snake conservation. Meanwhile the farmers’ purported attempt to kill snake when encountered increases the probability of being bitten by snakes. Attempt to kill snakes aggravates conflicts between man and snakes. A parlance among snake specialists states that: “leave a snake alone and it will leave you alone” (Melle, *et al.*, 2018).

The indigenous knowledge which farmers hold could sometimes be self-limiting, as reflected in how the farmers utilized the snakes (table 3). Apart from killing snakes for consumption and traditional uses, there are other sustainable benefits derivable from snakes unknown to the farmers. Rather than killing snakes and igniting conflicts, other sustainable means of benefiting from snakes include snake farming and snake tourism.

Countries such as Bangkok and China train people including farmers on how to handle and capture live snakes without been harmed. Such snakes constitute the resource base for snake farming and snake tourism in those countries. While the local knowledge held by farmers in the Ogun state, Nigeria limited them to a “one time” use of “kill and consume”, elsewhere in other climes, there is a booming business arising from a more viable use of snakes. In a small village of Zisiqiao in China’s Zhejiang province, just a few entrepreneurial snake farmers generate up to USD12million per annum from the sale of snakes in their farms to pharmaceutical companies. These countries use different parts of the snakes to produce nutritional supplement that are sold to waiting customers in Japan, South Korea, America and Europe (Marks, 2018).

The narrative can equally change for farmers in Ogun state, Nigeria, if their knowledge base is upgraded through massive informal/ “out of classroom” education. Education empowers and guides an individual to take well informed decision about natural resources thereby reducing conflict of interest or decision borne out of sentiments. It equally

facilitates awareness about peaceful co-existence with other components of the environment (Alam, 2018).

5. Conclusion

Farmers' indigenous knowledge contributed to minimal record of human-snake conflict in Ogun state, Nigeria. On the other hand, the knowledge held by farmers on the perceived value of snake is self-limiting and not sustainable. It could also bring about a spike in human-snake conflict in the study area. It is recommended that a re-orientation of farmers on their attitudes towards snake be facilitated through public enlightenment programmes.

References

- Alam, A. (2018). Protection and Conservation of Environment: An Important Role of Education. *Journal of Educational Technology*. Vol.15(3):1-6.
- Anand, S. and Radhakrishna, S. (2017). Investigating trends in human-wildlife conflict: is conflict escalation real or imagined? *Journal of Asia-Pacific Biodiversity*. 10: 154-161.
- Berger, L. R and McGraw, W. S. (2007). Further evidence for eagle predation of, and feeding damage on, the Taung child. *South African Journal of Science* 103:496-498.
- Datiko Demeke and Bekele Afework. (2013). Conservation challenge: Human-carnivore conflict in Chebera Churchura National Park, Ethiopia. *Greener Journal of Biological Sciences*, 3 (3):108-115.
- Eniang, E. A., Ijeomah, H. M., Okeyoyin, G. and Uwatt, A. E. (2011). Assessment of Human-Wildlife Conflicts in Filinga Range of Gashaka Gumti National Park. *PAT* 7 (1): 15-35.
- Huguenin, Michael A. Jr. (2015). Trends in Human-wildlife Interactions as Related to Land Use and Human Density in Massachusetts. Masters Theses. University of Massachusetts.
- Kate, K. (2012). Possible strategies/practices in reducing wild animal (Primate) crop raids in unprotected areas in Hoima district conducted in two Sub-counties in Hoima District, Uganda.
- Marks, G. (2018). Risky business: China's snake farmers cash in on global venom market. *The Guardian*.
<https://www.theguardian.com/business/2018/jul/12/snake-farm-village-china-zisiqiao-venom-market-medicine>
- Melle, E. M., Mbole, V., Esong, L. E., Ngome, L.M., Chokeh, I. C. (2018). The Assessment of Human-Snake Interaction and its Outcome in the City of Kumba. *International Journal of Forest, Animal and Fisheries Research*. Vol 2 (3): 74-83.
- National Geographic (2020). Snakes. National Geographic Society.
<https://www.nationalgeographic.com/animals/reptiles/group/snakes/>
- Nicholas, G. P. (2010). Being and Becoming Indigenous Archaeologist. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press.
- Nyanganji G., Fowler, A., McNamara, A., and Sommer, V. (2011). Monkeys and Apes as Animals and Humans: Ethno-Primatology in Nigeria's Taraba Region. In V. Sommer and C. Ross (eds.), *Primates of Gashaka, Developments in Primatology: Progress and Prospects* 35 (pp101-134). Springer Science Business Media.
- Obayelu, O. A., Akintunde, O.O. and Obayelu, A. E. (2015) Determinants of on-farm cassava biodiversity in Ogun State, Nigeria, *International Journal of Biodiversity Science, Ecosystem Services & Management*, 11:4, 298-308
- Pittiglio, C. (2009). Analysis of Crop Damage in Lolkisale Naitolia and Loborsoit A Villages (Monduli and Simanjiro Districts - Tanzania). Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), Rome, Italy.
- Smith, C. and Wobst, H.M. (2005). *Indigenous Archaeologies: Decolonizing Theory and Practice*. London: Routledge.
- Solanke, M.O. (2005). Spatial Analysis of Intra-Urban Travel Patterns in Ogun State. An Unpublished Ph.D Thesis, University of Ibadan, Ibadan.
- United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organisation. (2017). What is Local and Indigenous Knowledge?
<http://www.unesco.org/new/en/natural-sciences/priority-areas/links/related-information/what-is-local-and-indigenous-knowledge/#topPage>.