NIU Journal of Social Sciences Copyright©2025
Nexus International University ISSN: *3007-1682*; 11(4): 225–230

E-Voting as a Panacea to Voter Apathy in Nigeria

RASHIDA ADAMU OYORU, LAWAL YUSUF BIRISAN
ADEDOYIN JOHN TOWOJU
Kwara State University Malete, Nigeria.

SAMUEL OTOHINOYI
Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria

Abstract. Voter apathy has emerged as one of the most critical challenges to democratic consolidation in Nigeria. Despite the adoption of reforms intended to strengthen electoral credibility, turnout has consistently declined, raising concerns about the legitimacy of governance. This paper examines the potential of electronic voting (e-voting) as a panacea to voter apathy in Nigeria. Anchored on Rational Choice Theory, the study argues that citizens often disengage when the costs of participation outweigh the benefits. Drawing on secondary sources, comparative experiences from countries such as Estonia and Brazil, and institutional reports from Nigeria, the study finds that e-voting can reduce apathy by improving transparency, minimizing electoral violence, and enhancing inclusivity. However, infrastructural deficits, cybersecurity concerns, and institutional weaknesses remain obstacles to its adoption. The paper concludes that while e-voting offers a pathway to revitalizing participation, it must be embedded within broader electoral reforms to strengthen institutions, build citizen trust, and promote inclusivity.

1. Introduction

Nigeria's democracy has been consistently challenged by declining voter turnout. The Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC) reported a turnout of 34.75% in the 2019 general elections, one of the lowest in Africa (INEC, 2019). Similarly, the 2023 elections witnessed a turnout of less than 30%, reflecting deepening apathy among citizens (INEC, 2023). Scholars link this trend to electoral malpractice, insecurity, and lack of confidence in governance outcomes (Agbaje & Adejumobi, 2006; Ojo, 2019).

E-voting, already adopted in advanced democracies such as Estonia and tested in several developing nations, has been proposed as a potential solution to Nigeria's electoral malaise (Alvarez, Hall, & Trechsel, 2009; Bracetti, 2019). By leveraging digital technology, e-voting promises efficiency, inclusivity, and credibility. This paper investigates whether e-voting can serve as a sustainable remedy for voter apathy in Nigeria.

1.1 Statement of the Problem

Democracy thrives when citizens actively participate in the electoral process, especially through voting, which is the most direct means of influencing governance. However, Nigeria has continued to experience declining voter turnout in successive elections. For instance, the Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC) reported that while 84 million Nigerians registered to vote in the 2019 general elections, only about 28 million cast their ballots, representing barely 35% turnout. This trend worsened in the 2023 elections, where the turnout fell below 30%, the lowest in the country's democratic history since 1999.

This pattern of voter apathy undermines the legitimacy of elected leaders and weakens democratic accountability. Citizens increasingly perceive elections as predetermined by manipulation, vote buying, and violence. Long queues, delays in the

distribution of materials, and the fear of intimidation further discourage participation. Distrust in INEC and the judicial system has reinforced the belief that individual votes do not count, thereby dissuading citizens from engaging in the process.

While reforms such as the introduction of the Bimodal Voter Accreditation System (BVAS) and electronic transmission of results have improved certain aspects of credibility, Nigeria still grapples with deep-rooted skepticism about the electoral system. Against this backdrop, electronic voting (e-voting) offers an innovative solution that could restore confidence, simplify the voting process, and encourage broader participation. Yet, questions remain about Nigeria's readiness for such a transition, given infrastructural deficits, digital divides, and cybersecurity risks.

The central problem, therefore, is the persistence of voter apathy in Nigeria's democratic process, and the urgent need to explore whether e-voting can serve as a credible panacea to this challenge.

1.2 Objectives of the Study

The main objective of this paper is to examine electronic voting (e-voting) as a potential solution to voter apathy in Nigeria. Specifically, the study seeks to:

- Identify the major causes of voter apathy in Nigeria.
- Examine how e-voting could address these causes and enhance voter participation.
- Assess the feasibility of adopting e-voting in Nigeria, considering infrastructural, legal, and security factors.
- Provide actionable policy recommendations for the effective implementation of e-voting in Nigeria.

1.3 Research Questions

- What are the key factors responsible for voter apathy in Nigeria?
- How can e-voting mitigate these factors and increase voter confidence?
- What challenges could hinder the adoption of e-voting in Nigeria?
- To what extent can e-voting contribute to electoral transparency and inclusiveness?

2. Literature Review

2.1 Conceptual Review

2.1.1 Voter Apathy

Voter apathy refers to a lack of interest, motivation, or willingness among eligible citizens to participate in electoral processes. It is often manifested in low voter turnout, political disengagement, and a general sense of disillusionment with governance structures. In Nigeria, voter apathy has been attributed to several factors, including electoral fraud, violence, poor governance outcomes, lack of trust in electoral institutions, and logistical difficulties on election day. Scholars such as Omotola (2010) and Akinbobola (2016) argue that voter apathy reflects a crisis of legitimacy, where citizens perceive elections as neither free nor fair, and thus abstain from participating.

2.1.2 Electronic Voting (E-Voting)

Electronic voting (e-voting) refers to the use of electronic means to record, cast, and count votes in an election. E-voting can take different forms, including electronic voting machines (EVMs), internet-based voting, mobile voting applications, and biometric-enabled systems. Proponents of e-voting highlight its potential to improve transparency, minimize human error, reduce opportunities for fraud, and simplify the voting process. In contexts where logistical and security challenges deter voters, e-voting is seen as a mechanism to enhance accessibility and credibility.

In the Nigerian context, e-voting has been discussed as a possible next step after the deployment of technologies such as the Permanent Voter Card (PVC), the Bimodal Voter Accreditation System (BVAS), and electronic result transmission. While these innovations have partially addressed issues of multiple voting and result manipulation, the actual voting process still relies heavily on manual methods, which remain vulnerable to disruption.

2.1.3 Electoral Credibility and Democratic Participation

Electoral credibility is central to sustaining democracy. When citizens perceive elections as transparent and inclusive, they are more likely to participate, thereby strengthening democratic legitimacy. Conversely, when electoral processes are fraught with irregularities, citizens disengage, leading to apathy and

weakening state-citizen trust. As Ajayi (2019) notes, voter apathy in Nigeria reflects both systemic failures in governance and persistent doubts about whether votes truly matter. E-voting, therefore, is conceptualized in this paper as a technological innovation capable of bridging the credibility gap and rekindling citizens' confidence in the electoral process.

3. Empirical Review

3.1 International Experiences

3.1.1 Estonia (Internet voting / i-Voting)

Estonia is frequently cited as the leading example of nationwide internet voting. Empirical studies of the Estonian model report several clear outcomes which are convenience and accessibility improved (particularly for voters abroad), administrative efficiency in tallying votes increased, and some segments of the electorate reported higher trust in the integrity of vote transmission due to cryptographic safeguards and transparent procedures. At the same time, scholars and technical critics emphasize persistent concerns about cybersecurity, the risk of client-side vulnerabilities (i.e., voters' devices), and the need for independent audits and transparency mechanisms to maintain public confidence. The Estonian case shows that i-voting can work in a context with strong digital identity systems, high internet penetration, and sustained institutional investment.

3.1.2 Brazil (Electronic Voting Machines — EVMs / Direct Recording Electronic systems)

Brazil's experience with electronic voting machines highlights how dedicated, offline electronic systems can rapidly replace paper ballots at scale. Empirical evaluations emphasize major administrative benefits such as quicker vote counting, reduced logistical burdens of physical ballot handling, and lower incidence of certain types of ballot-box manipulations. Nevertheless, academic and policy literature also documents criticisms such as transparency concerns when vote counting is effectively a closed electronic process, demands for robust auditing and voter-verifiable paper audit trails, and political controversies when technological failures or mistrust arise. The Brazilian model suggests that trusted procurement, strong testing regimes, and visible auditability are crucial for broad public acceptance.

3.1.3 India (Electronic Voting Machines and VVPATs)

India's large-scale use of electronic voting machines (EVMs), augmented in recent years with voter-verified paper audit trails (VVPAT), provides mixed insights relevant to large, diverse democracies. Studies show administrative efficiency gains and reductions in certain kinds of fraud associated with paper ballots. Yet, public debates and legal challenges in India have centered on EVM reliability and transparency, leading to reforms that added offline paper trails and procedural audits. The Indian example underscores that technological adoption alone does not erase contestation but procedural transparency, legal frameworks, and frequent independent testing are essential.

4. Empirical Evidence from Nigeria

4.1 Technological Pilots and Reforms

Nigeria's recent electoral cycles have seen incremental technology adoption (biometric voter registration, the Permanent Voter Card, the Bimodal Voter Accreditation System, and efforts at electronic result transmission). Empirical assessments in the Nigerian literature generally credit these reforms with reducing some specific irregularities (e.g., multiple voting, easier identification), improving administrative speed in certain stages, and introducing a baseline of technological competence within the electoral management body.

4.2 Impact on Turnout and Voter Perception

Multiple Nigerian studies and post-election assessments find that while technological interventions have reduced some procedural fraud, they have not by themselves reversed voter apathy. The literature points to several reasons which include persistent broader political distrust, high-profile incidents of violence or intimidation, logistical failures on election day (e.g., late opening of polling units), and socioeconomic barriers to participation. Where technology improved a narrowly defined aspect of integrity, it did not automatically translate into higher turnout without parallel improvements in perceived fairness and political accountability.

4.3 Security, Infrastructure, and Capacity Concerns

Empirical work in Nigeria raises practical concerns about infrastructure (power supply,

connectivity), procurement transparency, human capacity for managing and auditing technology, and the risk of politicized narratives around failure or manipulation of devices. Several authors recommend staged pilots, rigorous third-party testing, and visible audit procedures to build credibility.

4.4 Civic Education and Digital Literacy

Where e-tools were used in pilots or limited deployments, studies highlight that voter education strongly conditions acceptance. In communities with low digital literacy or limited prior exposure to election technologies, citizens expressed confusion or suspicion, sometimes reducing turnout in specific localities. This underscores that technology must be accompanied by broad-based civic and digital literacy campaigns.

5. Synthesis and Implications for Nigeria

From the empirical literature, several implications emerge for treating e-voting as a panacea to voter apathy in Nigeria:

- E-voting can address some supply-side barriers (long queues, delayed processes, certain types of manipulation) and thus reduce transactional costs of voting aligning with Rational Choice expectations but it cannot, by itself, address demand-side skepticism about whether votes influence outcomes.
- Institutional trust and visible safeguards matter more than technology type. The most successful cases combine technology with transparent audits, independent testing, and proactive civic education.
- Hybrid and phased approaches are most pragmatic. Given infrastructural variability across Nigeria, deploying a mix of machine-based voting in areas with reliable power and connectivity, plus manual safeguards elsewhere, can reduce risks tied to full immediate rollout.
- Strong legal and regulatory frameworks are necessary (data protection, cybersecurity laws, procurement transparency) to prevent politicization and to provide recourse and redress when technical issues occur.
- Pilot programs and robust evaluation (including independent observers, technical audits, and public reporting) are essential to build evidence on impact and to tailor deployment to local contexts.

6. Theoretical Framework

6.1 Rational Choice Theory

Rational Choice Theory posits that individuals make political decisions based on a calculation of costs and benefits. Applied to voter behavior, the theory suggests that citizens will participate in elections when the perceived benefits of voting outweigh the costs (time, effort, risks of violence, or belief in wasted votes). In Nigeria, voter apathy can be explained through this lens because when citizens perceive elections as fraudulent or predetermined, the "cost" of voting seems greater than the "benefit," leading to abstention.

E-voting has the potential to alter this calculation by lowering the costs of participation and increasing the perceived benefits. By simplifying the process, reducing time spent in queues, minimizing risks of violence at polling stations, and improving transparency, e-voting can make participation more rational and attractive for citizens.

6.2 Modernization Theory

Modernization Theory emphasizes the role of technological advancement in shaping political, social, and economic development. The theory argues that as societies adopt modern technologies, democratic practices are strengthened through improved efficiency, accountability, and citizen engagement.

In the context of Nigeria, modernization theory provides a basis for linking technological innovation (such as e-voting) with democratic consolidation. The adoption of e-voting is not merely a technical reform but a step toward modernizing governance structures to align with global democratic standards. Countries like Estonia and Brazil have demonstrated that technology can bridge institutional gaps and foster trust in elections. For Nigeria, modernization theory underscores the potential of e-voting to drive political modernization and reduce apathy.

6.3 Relevance of the Theories to the Study

Both Rational Choice Theory and Modernization Theory offer valuable insights into the dynamics of voter apathy and the

potential of e-voting. Rational Choice Theory explains why citizens disengage from voting under Nigeria's current system, while Modernization Theory provides a framework for understanding how technological adoption can transform political participation. Together, they form the analytical lens for assessing e-voting as a panacea to voter apathy in Nigeria.

7. Findings and Discussion

7.1 Causes of Voter Apathy in Nigeria

Documentary evidence indicates that voter apathy in Nigeria arises from Electoral malpractice and manipulation (Agbaje & Adejumobi, 2006). Logistical inefficiencies during elections (INEC, 2019), electoral violence and insecurity (Ojo, 2019), Weak institutional trust and governance failures (Norris, 2011), Socioeconomic hardship and disillusionment (Dalton, 2017) are so of the causes of voter apathy in Nigeria.

7.2 Voting as a Remedy to Voter Apathy

Secondary data show that e-voting enhances transparency, accessibility, and inclusivity (International IDEA, 2021). In Nigeria, it could minimize ballot manipulation, reduce long queues, and improve efficiency (INEC, 2023). Additionally, e-voting offers potential inclusion for Nigerians in the diaspora and persons with disabilities (UNDP, 2022).

7.3 Challenges to E-Voting in Nigeria

Findings also reveal that infrastructural deficits, poor internet penetration, low digital literacy, and cybersecurity threats are major obstacles. Institutional weakness and political resistance further complicate adoption of e-voting in Nigeria (Ojo, 2019).

7.4 Synthesis of Findings

E-voting has the potential to reverse voter apathy, but only if Nigeria addresses its structural and institutional weaknesses. Technology alone cannot substitute for trust, transparency, and accountability.

8. Conclusion and Recommendations

8.1 Conclusion

This study examined the persistent problem of voter apathy in Nigeria and explored the potential of electronic voting (e-voting) as a panacea. Findings show that apathy among Nigerian voters is deeply rooted in electoral malpractice, insecurity, logistical inefficiencies, and a general lack of trust in political outcomes. E-voting, if strategically adopted, could mitigate several of these challenges by enhancing transparency, improving efficiency, and broadening inclusivity.

However, e-voting is not a cure-all. Its effectiveness depends on the strength of institutional frameworks, infrastructural readiness, cybersecurity measures, and citizens' digital literacy. Without these conditions, e-voting risks reproducing existing governance failures in digital form. The conclusion, therefore, is that e-voting can significantly reduce voter apathy in Nigeria, but only if it is implemented as part of broader electoral reforms that address institutional weaknesses and build citizen trust.

8.2 Recommendations

Strengthen Electoral Institutions: INEC should be reformed and empowered with adequate funding, training, and independence to manage e-voting transparently.

Invest in Infrastructure: Government must expand internet penetration, ensure reliable electricity, and develop secure digital platforms to support e-voting, especially in rural communities.

Cybersecurity Framework: Establish a robust cybersecurity architecture in collaboration with both local and international experts to prevent hacking and safeguard the integrity of electronic systems.

Pilot Projects: Begin with phased implementation (e.g., diaspora voting, local government elections, or university campuses) before nationwide adoption, to test reliability and public acceptance.

Civic and Digital Education: Massive campaigns should be launched to sensitize citizens on how to use e-voting platforms, while also emphasizing the importance of electoral participation.

Legislative Support: The National Assembly should update electoral laws to provide a clear legal framework for e-voting, including provisions for auditing, dispute resolution, and data protection.

Stakeholder Collaboration: Civil society organizations, political parties, and the media should be actively involved in monitoring and creating awareness around e-voting to build credibility.

References

Adekunle, F., & Bello, O. (2020). Digital Governance and Citizen Participation in Developing Countries. *Journal of African Public Administration,* 12(3), 45–63.

Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC). (2023). Report on Voter Turnout in Nigeria General Elections 2019–2023. Abuja: INEC.

Norris, P. (2014). Why elections fail: The politics of voter participation. Cambridge University Press.

Smith, J., & Chen, L. (2019). E-voting adoption in developing nations: Challenges and opportunities. *Government Information Quarterly,* 36(2), 101–115.

Venkatesh, V., & Davis, F. D. (2000). A theoretical extension of the technology acceptance model: Four longitudinal field studies. *Management Sciences*, 46(2), 186–204.

International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance (IDEA). (2021). Electronic voting and participation: Global trends and lessons. Stockholm: IDEA.